

RELATIONAL ALGEBRA

CS121: Relational Databases
Fall 2018 – Lecture 2

Query Languages

- A query language specifies how to access the data in the database
- Different kinds of query languages:
 - ▣ Declarative languages specify what data to retrieve, but not how to retrieve it
 - ▣ Procedural languages specify what to retrieve, as well as the process for retrieving it
- Query languages often include updating and deleting data as well
- Also called data manipulation language (DML)

The Relational Algebra

3

- A procedural query language
- Comprised of relational algebra operations
- Relational operations:
 - ▣ Take one or two relations as input
 - ▣ Produce a relation as output
- Relational operations can be composed together
 - ▣ Each operation produces a relation
 - ▣ A query is simply a relational algebra expression
- Six “fundamental” relational operations
- Other useful operations can be composed from these fundamental operations

“Why is this useful?”

4

- SQL is only loosely based on relational algebra
- SQL is much more on the “declarative” end of the spectrum
- *Many* relational databases use relational algebra operations for representing execution plans
 - ▣ Simple, clean, effective abstraction for representing how results will be generated
 - ▣ Relatively easy to manipulate for query optimization

Fundamental Relational Algebra Operations

5

□ Six fundamental operations:

| | |
|----------|-----------------------------|
| σ | select operation |
| Π | project operation |
| \cup | set-union operation |
| $-$ | set-difference operation |
| \times | Cartesian product operation |
| ρ | rename operation |

□ Each operation takes one or two relations as input

□ Produces another relation as output

□ Important details:

▣ What tuples are included in the result relation?

▣ Any constraints on input schemas? What is schema of result?

Select Operation

- Written as: $\sigma_P(r)$
- P is the predicate for selection
 - ▣ P can refer to attributes in r (but no other relation!), as well as literal values
 - ▣ Can use comparison operators: $=, \neq, <, \leq, >, \geq$
 - ▣ Can combine multiple predicates using:
 \wedge (and), \vee (or), \neg (not)
- r is the input relation
- Result relation contains all tuples in r for which P is true
- Result schema is identical to schema for r

Select Examples

7

Using the *account* relation:

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-301 | New York | 350 |
| A-307 | Seattle | 275 |
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-319 | New York | 80 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

account

“Retrieve all tuples for accounts in the Los Angeles branch.”

$\sigma_{branch_name="Los Angeles"}(account)$

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

“Retrieve all tuples for accounts in the Los Angeles branch, with a balance under \$300.”

$\sigma_{branch_name="Los Angeles" \wedge balance < 300}(account)$

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

Project Operation

8

- Written as: $\Pi_{a,b,\dots}(r)$
- Result relation contains only specified attributes of r
 - ▣ Specified attributes must actually be in schema of r
 - ▣ Result's schema only contains the specified attributes
 - ▣ Domains are same as source attributes' domains
- Important note:
 - ▣ Result relation may have fewer rows than input relation!
 - ▣ Why?
 - Relations are *sets* of tuples, not multisets

Project Example

9

Using the *account* relation:

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-301 | New York | 350 |
| A-307 | Seattle | 275 |
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-319 | New York | 80 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

account

“Retrieve all branch names that have at least one account.”

$\Pi_{branch_name}(account)$

| branch_name |
|-------------|
| New York |
| Seattle |
| Los Angeles |

- Result only has three tuples, even though input has five
- Result schema is just (*branch_name*)

Composing Operations

- Input can also be an expression that evaluates to a relation, instead of just a relation
- $\Pi_{acct_id}(\sigma_{balance \geq 300}(account))$
 - ▣ Selects the account IDs of all accounts with a balance of \$300 or more
 - ▣ Input relation's schema is:
 $Account_schema = (\underline{acct_id}, branch_name, balance)$
 - ▣ Final result relation's schema?
 - Just one attribute: $(acct_id)$
- Distinguish between base and derived relations
 - ▣ $account$ is a base relation
 - ▣ $\sigma_{balance \geq 300}(account)$ is a derived relation

Set-Union Operation

11

- Written as: $r \cup s$
- Result contains all tuples from r and s
 - ▣ Each tuple is unique, even if it's in both r and s
- Constraints on schemas for r and s ?
- r and s must have compatible schemas:
 - ▣ r and s must have same arity
 - (same number of attributes)
 - ▣ For each attribute i in r and s , $r[i]$ must have the same domain as $s[i]$
 - ▣ (Our examples also generally have same attribute names, but not required! Arity and domains are what matter.)

Set-Union Example

12

- More complicated schema: accounts and loans

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-301 | New York | 350 |
| A-307 | Seattle | 275 |
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-319 | New York | 80 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

account

| cust_name | acct_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Johnson | A-318 |
| Smith | A-322 |
| Reynolds | A-319 |
| Lewis | A-307 |
| Reynolds | A-301 |

depositor

| loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|---------|---------------|--------|
| L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |

loan

| cust_name | loan_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Anderson | L-437 |
| Jackson | L-419 |
| Lewis | L-421 |
| Smith | L-445 |

borrower

Set-Union Example (2)

13

- Find names of all customers that have either a bank account or a loan at the bank

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-301 | New York | 350 |
| A-307 | Seattle | 275 |
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-319 | New York | 80 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

account

| cust_name | acct_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Johnson | A-318 |
| Smith | A-322 |
| Reynolds | A-319 |
| Lewis | A-307 |
| Reynolds | A-301 |

depositor

| loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|---------|---------------|--------|
| L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |

loan

| cust_name | loan_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Anderson | L-437 |
| Jackson | L-419 |
| Lewis | L-421 |
| Smith | L-445 |

borrower

Set-Union Example (3)

14

- Find names of all customers that have either a bank account or a loan at the bank

- Easy to find the customers with an account:

$\Pi_{cust_name}(depositor)$

- Also easy to find customers with a loan:

$\Pi_{cust_name}(borrower)$

| cust_name |
|-----------|
| Johnson |
| Smith |
| Reynolds |
| Lewis |

$\Pi_{cust_name}(depositor)$

| cust_name |
|-----------|
| Anderson |
| Jackson |
| Lewis |
| Smith |

$\Pi_{cust_name}(borrower)$

- Result is set-union of these expressions:

$\Pi_{cust_name}(depositor) \cup \Pi_{cust_name}(borrower)$

- Note that inputs have 8 tuples, but result has 6 tuples.

| cust_name |
|-----------|
| Johnson |
| Smith |
| Reynolds |
| Lewis |
| Anderson |
| Jackson |

Set-Difference Operation

15

- Written as: $r - s$
- Result contains tuples that are only in r , but not in s
 - ▣ Tuples in both r and s are excluded
 - ▣ Tuples only in s are also excluded
- Constraints on schemas of r and s ?
 - ▣ Schemas must be compatible
 - ▣ (Exactly like set-union.)

Set-Difference Example

16

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-301 | New York | 350 |
| A-307 | Seattle | 275 |
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-319 | New York | 80 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

account

| cust_name | acct_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Johnson | A-318 |
| Smith | A-322 |
| Reynolds | A-319 |
| Lewis | A-307 |
| Reynolds | A-301 |

depositor

| loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|---------|---------------|--------|
| L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |

loan

| cust_name | loan_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Anderson | L-437 |
| Jackson | L-419 |
| Lewis | L-421 |
| Smith | L-445 |

borrower

“Find all customers that have an account but not a loan.”

Set-Difference Example (2)

17

- Again, each component is easy

- All customers that have an account:

$\Pi_{cust_name}(depositor)$

- All customers that have a loan:

$\Pi_{cust_name}(borrower)$

| cust_name |
|-----------|
| Johnson |
| Smith |
| Reynolds |
| Lewis |

$\Pi_{cust_name}(depositor)$

| cust_name |
|-----------|
| Anderson |
| Jackson |
| Lewis |
| Smith |

$\Pi_{cust_name}(borrower)$

- Result is set-difference of these expressions

$\Pi_{cust_name}(depositor) - \Pi_{cust_name}(borrower)$

| cust_name |
|-----------|
| Johnson |
| Reynolds |

Cartesian Product Operation

18

- Written as: $r \times s$
 - ▣ Read as “ r cross s ”
- No constraints on schemas of r and s
- Schema of result is *concatenation* of schemas for r and s
- If r and s have overlapping attribute names:
 - ▣ All overlapping attributes are included; none are eliminated
 - ▣ Distinguish overlapping attribute names by prepending the source relation's name
- Example:
 - ▣ Input relations: $r(a, b)$ and $s(b, c)$
 - ▣ Schema of $r \times s$ is $(a, r.b, s.b, c)$

Cartesian Product Operation (2)

19

- Result of $r \times s$
 - ▣ Contains every tuple in r , combined with every tuple in s
 - ▣ If r contains N_r tuples, and s contains N_s tuples, result contains $N_r \times N_s$ tuples
- Allows two relations to be compared and/or combined
 - ▣ If we want to correlate tuples in relation r with tuples in relation s ...
 - ▣ Compute $r \times s$, then select out desired results with an appropriate predicate

Cartesian Product Example

20

- Compute result of *borrower* \times *loan*

| cust_name | loan_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Anderson | L-437 |
| Jackson | L-419 |
| Lewis | L-421 |
| Smith | L-445 |

borrower

| loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|---------|---------------|--------|
| L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |

loan

- Result will contain $4 \times 4 = 16$ tuples

Cartesian Product Example (2)

21

- Schema for borrower is:

$Borrower_schema = (cust_name, loan_id)$

- Schema for loan is:

$Loan_schema = (\underline{loan_id}, branch_name, amount)$

- Schema for result of $borrower \times loan$ is:

$(cust_name, borrower.loan_id,$
 $loan.loan_id, branch_name, amount)$

- Overlapping attribute names are distinguished by including name of source relation

Cartesian Product Example (3)

22

Result:

| cust_name | borrower. loan_id | loan. loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Anderson | L-437 | L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| Anderson | L-437 | L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| Anderson | L-437 | L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| Anderson | L-437 | L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |
| Jackson | L-419 | L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| Jackson | L-419 | L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| Jackson | L-419 | L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| Jackson | L-419 | L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |
| Lewis | L-421 | L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| Lewis | L-421 | L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| Lewis | L-421 | L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| Lewis | L-421 | L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |
| Smith | L-445 | L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| Smith | L-445 | L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| Smith | L-445 | L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| Smith | L-445 | L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |

Cartesian Product Example (4)

23

- Can use Cartesian product to associate related rows between two tables
 - ▣ ...but, a lot of extra rows are included!

| cust_name | borrower. loan_id | loan. loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|-----------|----------------------|------------------|---------------|--------|
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Jackson | L-419 | L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| Jackson | L-419 | L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |
| Lewis | L-421 | L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| Lewis | L-421 | L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |

- Combine Cartesian product with a select operation
 $\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan_id}=\text{loan.loan_id}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan})$

Cartesian Product Example (5)

24

- “Retrieve the names of all customers with loans at the Seattle branch.”

| cust_name | loan_id |
|-----------|---------|
| Anderson | L-437 |
| Jackson | L-419 |
| Lewis | L-421 |
| Smith | L-445 |

borrower

| loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|---------|---------------|--------|
| L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |

loan

- Need both *borrower* and *loan* relations
- Correlate tuples in the relations using *loan_id*
- Then, computing result is easy.

Cartesian Product Example (6)

25

- Associate customer names with loan details, using Cartesian product and a select:

$$\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan_id}=\text{loan.loan_id}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan})$$

- Select out loans at Seattle branch:

$$\sigma_{\text{branch_name}=\text{"Seattle"}}(\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan_id}=\text{loan.loan_id}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan}))$$

Simplify:

$$\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan_id}=\text{loan.loan_id} \wedge \text{branch_name}=\text{"Seattle"}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan})$$

- Project results down to customer name:

$$\Pi_{\text{cust_name}}(\sigma_{\text{borrower.loan_id}=\text{loan.loan_id} \wedge \text{branch_name}=\text{"Seattle"}}(\text{borrower} \times \text{loan}))$$

- Final result:

| cust_name |
|-----------|
| Jackson |

Rename Operation

26

- Results of relational operations are unnamed
 - ▣ Result has a schema, but the relation itself is unnamed
- Can give result a name using the rename operator
- Written as: $\rho_x(E)$ (Greek rho, not lowercase “P”)
 - ▣ E is an expression that produces a relation
 - ▣ E can also be a named relation or a relation-variable
 - ▣ x is new name of relation
- More general form is: $\rho_{x(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)}(E)$
 - ▣ Allows renaming of relation’s attributes
 - ▣ Requirement: E has arity n

Scope of Renamed Relations

- Rename operation ρ only applies within a specific relational algebra expression
 - ▣ This does not create a new relation-variable!
 - ▣ The new name is only visible to enclosing relational-algebra expressions
- Rename operator is used for two main purposes:
 - ▣ Allow a derived relation and its attributes to be referred to by enclosing relational-algebra operations
 - ▣ Allow a base relation to be used multiple ways in one query
 - $r \times \rho_s(r)$
- In other words, rename operation ρ is used to resolve ambiguities within a specific relational algebra expression

Rename Example

28

- “Find the ID of the loan with the largest amount.”

| loan_id | branch_name | amount |
|---------|---------------|--------|
| L-421 | San Francisco | 7500 |
| L-445 | Los Angeles | 2000 |
| L-437 | Las Vegas | 4300 |
| L-419 | Seattle | 2900 |

loan

- Hard to find the loan with the largest amount!
 - (At least, with the tools we have so far...)
- Much easier to find all loans that have an amount *smaller* than some other loan
- Then, use set-difference to find the largest loan

Rename Example (2)

29

- How to find all loans with an amount smaller than some other loan?
 - ▣ Use Cartesian Product of *loan* with itself:
 $loan \times loan$
 - ▣ Compare each loan's amount to all other loans
- Problem: Can't distinguish between attributes of left and right *loan* relations!
- Solution: Use rename operation
 $loan \times \rho_{test}(loan)$
 - ▣ Now, right relation is named *test*

Rename Example (3)

30

- Find IDs of all loans with an amount smaller than some other loan:

$$\Pi_{loan.loan_id}(\sigma_{loan.amount < test.amount}(loan \times \rho_{test}(loan)))$$

- Finally, we can get our result:

$$\Pi_{loan_id}(loan) -$$

$$\Pi_{loan.loan_id}(\sigma_{loan.amount < test.amount}(loan \times \rho_{test}(loan)))$$

| loan_id |
|---------|
| L-421 |

- What if multiple loans have max value?
 - ▣ All loans with max value appear in result.

Additional Relational Operations

31

- The fundamental operations are sufficient to query a relational database...
- Can produce some large expressions for common operations!
- Several additional operations, defined in terms of fundamental operations:
 - \cap set-intersection
 - \bowtie natural join
 - \div division
 - \leftarrow assignment

Set-Intersection Operation

32

- Written as: $r \cap s$
- $r \cap s = r - (r - s)$
 - $r - s$ = the rows in r , but not in s
 - $r - (r - s)$ = the rows in both r and s
- Relations must have compatible schemas
- Example: find all customers with both a loan and a bank account

$$\Pi_{cust_name}(borrower) \cap \Pi_{cust_name}(depositor)$$

Natural Join Operation

33

- Most common use of Cartesian product is to correlate tuples with the same key-values
 - ▣ Called a join operation
- The natural join is a shorthand for this operation
- Written as: $r \bowtie s$
 - ▣ r and s must have common attributes
 - ▣ The common attributes are usually a key for r and/or s , but certainly don't have to be

Natural Join Definition

34

- For two relations $r(R)$ and $s(S)$
- Attributes used to perform natural join:

$$R \cap S = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$$

- Formal definition:

$$r \bowtie s = \Pi_{R \cup S}(\sigma_{r.A_1=s.A_1 \wedge r.A_2=s.A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge r.A_n=s.A_n} (r \times s))$$

- ▣ r and s are joined using an equality condition based on their common attributes
- ▣ Result is projected so that common attributes only appear once

Natural Join Example

35

- Simple example:

“Find the names of all customers with loans.”

- Result:

$$\Pi_{cust_name}(\sigma_{borrower.loan_id=loan.loan_id}(borrower \times loan))$$

- Rewritten with natural join:

$$\Pi_{cust_name}(borrower \bowtie loan)$$

Natural Join Characteristics

36

- Very common to compute joins across multiple tables
- Example: $customer \bowtie borrower \bowtie loan$
- Natural join operation is associative:
 - ▣ $(customer \bowtie borrower) \bowtie loan$ is equivalent to $customer \bowtie (borrower \bowtie loan)$
- Note:
 - ▣ Even though these expressions are equivalent, order of join operations can dramatically affect query cost!
 - ▣ (Keep this in mind for later...)

Division Operation

37

- Binary operator: $r \div s$
- Implements a “for each” type of query
 - ▣ “Find all rows in r that have one row corresponding to each row in s .”
 - ▣ Relation r divided by relation s
- Easiest to illustrate with an example:
- Puzzle Database
 - puzzle_list(puzzle_name)*
 - Simple list of puzzles by name
 - completed(person_name, puzzle_name)*
 - Records which puzzles have been completed by each person

Puzzle Database

38

“Who has solved every puzzle?”

□ Need to find every person in *completed* that has an entry for every puzzle in *puzzle_list*

□ Divide *completed* by *puzzle_list* to get answer:

$completed \div puzzle_list =$

| person_name |
|-------------|
| Alex |
| Carl |

□ Only Alex and Carl have completed every puzzle in *puzzle_list*.

| person_name | puzzle_name |
|-------------|-------------|
| Alex | altekruise |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruise |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |

completed

| puzzle_name |
|-------------|
| altekruise |
| soma cube |
| puzzle box |

puzzle_list

Puzzle Database (2)

39

“Who has solved every puzzle?”

$completed \div puzzle_list =$

| person_name |
|-------------|
| Alex |
| Carl |

- Very reminiscent of integer division
 - Result relation contains tuples from *completed* that are evenly divided by *puzzle_name*
- Several other kinds of relational division operators
 - e.g. some can compute “remainder” of the division operation

| person_name | puzzle_name |
|-------------|-------------|
| Alex | altekruise |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruise |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |

completed

| puzzle_name |
|-------------|
| altekruise |
| soma cube |
| puzzle box |

puzzle_list

Division Operation

40

For $r(R) \div s(S)$

- Required: $S \subset R$
 - ▣ All attributes in S must also be in R
- Result has schema $R - S$
 - ▣ Result has attributes that are in R but not also in S
 - ▣ (This is why we don't allow $S = R$)
- Every tuple t in result satisfies these conditions:
 - $t \in \Pi_{R-S}(r)$
 - $\langle \forall t_s \in s : \exists t_r \in r : t_r[S] = t_s[S] \wedge t_r[R-S] = t \rangle$
 - Every tuple in the result has a row in r corresponding to every row in s

Puzzle Database

For $completed \div puzzle_list$

- Schemas are compatible
- Result has schema (*person_name*)
 - Attributes in *completed* schema, but not also in *puzzle_list* schema

| person_name |
|-------------|
| Alex |
| Carl |

$completed \div puzzle_list$

- Every tuple t in result satisfies these conditions:

$$t \in \Pi_{R-S}(r)$$

$$\langle \forall t_s \in s : \exists t_r \in r : t_r[S] = t_s[S] \wedge t_r[R-S] = t \rangle$$

| person_name | puzzle_name |
|-------------|-------------|
| Alex | altekruise |
| Alex | soma cube |
| Bob | puzzle box |
| Carl | altekruise |
| Bob | soma cube |
| Carl | puzzle box |
| Alex | puzzle box |
| Carl | soma cube |

$completed = r$

| puzzle_name |
|-------------|
| altekruise |
| soma cube |
| puzzle box |

$puzzle_list = s$

Division Operation

42

- Not provided natively in most SQL databases
 - ▣ Rarely needed!
 - ▣ Easy enough to implement in SQL, if needed
- Will see it in the homework assignments, and on the midterm... 😊
 - ▣ Often a very nice shortcut for more involved queries

Relation Variables

43

- Recall: relation variables refer to a specific relation
 - ▣ A specific set of tuples, with a particular schema
- Example: *account* relation

| acct_id | branch_name | balance |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| A-301 | New York | 350 |
| A-307 | Seattle | 275 |
| A-318 | Los Angeles | 550 |
| A-319 | New York | 80 |
| A-322 | Los Angeles | 275 |

account

- ▣ *account* is actually technically a relation variable, as are all our named relations so far

Assignment Operation

44

- Can assign a relation-value to a relation-variable
- Written as: $relvar \leftarrow E$
 - ▣ E is an expression that evaluates to a relation
- Unlike ρ , the name $relvar$ persists in the database
- Often used for temporary relation-variables:
 - $temp1 \leftarrow \Pi_{R-S}(r)$
 - $temp2 \leftarrow \Pi_{R-S}((temp1 \times s) - \Pi_{R-S,S}(r))$
 - $result \leftarrow temp1 - temp2$
 - ▣ Query evaluation becomes a sequence of steps
 - ▣ (This is an implementation of the \div operator)
- Can also use assignment operation to modify data
 - ▣ More about updates next time...